

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED-STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/645,471	08/24/2000	Masaya Yukinobu	000996	4323
23850 759	90 04/30/2003			
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			PAULRAJ, CH	RISTOPHER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		HS				
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/645,471	YUKINOBU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher G. Paulraj	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become A.	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	February 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ T	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12 and 21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-20 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ d	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language p	• •					
Attachment(s)	, ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on February 21, 2003 has been entered. Claims 1-24 are pending. Claims 1-12 and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 13-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1.12, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants have removed the modifier "as its main components" in response to the § 112, second paragraph rejection for the indefiniteness of such a term. This amendment (in light of the transitional phrase "comprising") essentially broadens the scope of the claim to allow for the inclusion of any additional components in the claimed coating liquids. However, as applicants point out in their arguments and in the specification, the "coating liquid for forming a transparent coating layer" recited in claim 13 and the "coating liquid for forming a transparent conductive layer" recited in claim 16 are directed towards coating compositions that have specifically limited components. Applicants have

Art Unit: 1773

argued that the coating liquid for forming the transparent coating layer cannot include any "gold-microparticles or gold-containing nobel metal microparticles." Furthermore, the production method disclosed by the specification page 21 discloses that the transparent coating layer containing the inorganic binder is coated over the transparent conductive layer containing the gold-containing microparticles, at which point the two components interact. However, the present scope of the claims allows for the coating liquid to include both the gold microparticles and the inorganic binder in the same composition and is therefore not supported by the enabled disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marcantonio et al. (U.S. Patent 4,140,834).

Marcantonio et al. discloses a coating composition that comprises an aqueous dispersion containing polyphenylene sulfide, an inorganic binder, and a solid lubricant abstract). The polyphenylene sulfide is included in an amount 5 to amount 40 weight percent and the inorganic binder is included in an amount of 30 to about 80 weight percent (col. 4, lines 20-55).

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher G. Paulraj whose telephone number is (703) 308-1036. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1773

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0661.

April 29, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700